

## ALMOST $C(\lambda)$ MANIFOLDS ADMITTING $W_2$ CURVATURE TENSOR

**Ali Akbar**

Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, Kalyani-741235, West Bengal, India,

**E-mail :** [aliakbar.akbar@rediffmail.com](mailto:aliakbar.akbar@rediffmail.com)

**A. Sarkar**

Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, Kalyani-741235, West Bengal, India,

**E-mail :** [avjaj@yahoo.co.in](mailto:avjaj@yahoo.co.in)

**Received : January 4, 2014**

**Abstract :** The object of the present paper is to study almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifolds admitting  $W_2$  -curvature tensor. We consider almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifolds satisfying some curvature conditions such as  $W_2 = 0$ ,  $W_2.S=0$ ,  $R(\xi, U). W_2 = 0$ ,  $R(\xi, Y).S = 0$  and  $R(X, Y). W_2 = 0$ .

**Keywords and phrases :** Almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifolds,  $W_2$ -curvature tensor,  $W_2$ -semiymmetric almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifolds .

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification :** 53C25, 53D15.

### 1. Introduction

The notion of almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifolds was introduced by Janssen and Vanhecke [5]. Further Olszak and Rosca [8] investigated such manifolds. Again Kharitonova [6] studied conformally flat almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifolds. In the paper [1] the first author of this paper studied Ricci tensor and quasi-conformal curvature tensor of almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifolds. On the other hand in 1970 Pokhariyal and Mishra [10] have introduced new curvature tensor called  $W_2$ -curvature tensor in a Riemannian manifold and studied their properties. Further Pokhariyal [9] has studied some

properties of this curvature tensor in Sasakian manifold. Matsumoto, Ianus and Mihai [7], Yildiz and De [11] have studied  $W_2$ -curvature tensor in P-Sasakian and Kenmotsu manifolds respectively. Recently in the paper [4] Hui and Sarkar studied  $W_2$ -curvature tensor on generalized Sasakian-Space-Forms. In the present paper we study some curvature conditions on almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifolds. The present paper is organized as follows: After introduction in Sec. 1 we give some preliminaries in Sec. 2. In Sec.3 we study  $W_2$ -flat almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifolds. Sec. 4 is devoted to the study of almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifolds satisfying  $W_2.S = 0$ . In 5 we study almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifolds satisfying  $W_2.R = 0$ . In Sections 6 and 7 we have studied almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifolds satisfying  $R(\xi, Y).S = 0$  and  $R(X, Y).W_2 = 0$  respectively.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $M$  be a  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional connected differentiable manifold endowed with an almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  where  $\phi$  is a tensor field of type  $(1, 1)$ ,  $\xi$  is a vector field,  $\eta$  is an 1-form and  $g$  is a Riemannian metric on  $M$  such that [2]

$$\phi^2(X) = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \eta(\xi) = 1 \quad \dots (1)$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad X, Y \in TM. \quad \dots (2)$$

$$\text{Then also, } \phi\xi = 0, \eta(\phi X) = 0, \quad \dots (3)$$

$$g(\phi X, X) = 0, \quad \dots (4)$$

$$(\nabla_X \phi)(Y) = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y). \quad \dots (5)$$

If an almost contact Riemannian manifold  $M$  satisfies the condition

$$S(X, Y) = ag(X, Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad \dots (6)$$

for some smooth functions  $a$  and  $b$  on  $M$ , then  $M$  is said to be an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold. If  $b = 0$ , then the manifold is called Einstein.

**Definition 2.1.** An almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifold  $M$  is an almost co-Hermitian manifold such that the Riemannian curvature tensor satisfies the following property [5]; there exist a real number  $\lambda$  such that for all  $X, Y, Z \in TM$ ,

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = R(X, Y, \phi Z, \phi W) + \lambda\{-g(Y, W)g(X, Z) + g(X, W)g(Y, Z) + g(X, \phi Z)g(Y, \phi W) - g(X, \phi W)g(Y, \phi Z)\} \quad \dots (7)$$

From (7) we have the following

$$R(X, Y)Z = R(\phi X, \phi Y)Z - \lambda\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y - g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X + g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y\}. \quad \dots (8)$$

From (8) we have the following:

$$R(X, Y)\xi = R(\phi X, \phi Y)\xi - \lambda\{\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\}, \quad \dots (9)$$

$$R(\xi, Y)Z = -\lambda\{g(Y, Z)\xi - \eta(Z)Y\}, \quad \dots (10)$$

$$R(\xi, Y)\xi = -\lambda\{\eta(Y)\xi - Y\}, \quad \dots (11)$$

$$R(\xi, \xi)Z = 0, \quad \dots (12)$$

On an almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifold, we also have [1]

$$QX = AX + B\eta(X)\xi \quad \dots (13)$$

where,  $-\lambda(2n - 1) = A$ ,  $-\lambda = B$  and  $Q$  is the Ricci-operator .

$$\eta(QX) = (A + B)\eta(X), \quad \dots (14)$$

$$S(X, Y) = Ag(X, Y) + B\eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad \dots (15)$$

$$r = -4n2\lambda, \quad \dots (16)$$

$$S(X, \xi) = (A + B)\eta(X), \quad \dots (17)$$

$$S(\xi, \xi) = (A + B), \quad \dots (18)$$

$$g(QX, Y) = S(X, Y) \quad \dots (19)$$

In [10], Pokhariyal and Mishra have defined the curvature tensor  $W_2$ , given by

$$W_2(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z + (1/2n)\{g(X, Z)QY - g(Y, Z)QX\} \quad (20)$$

where  $Q$  is the Ricci operator, i.e.,  $g(QX, Y) = S(X, Y)$  for all vector fields on the tangent space of  $M$ .

In view of (8) we get from above,

$$W_2(X, Y)Z = R(\phi X, \phi Y)Z - \lambda \{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y - g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X + g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y\} \\ + (1/2n) \{g(X, Z)QY - g(Y, Z)QX\} \quad (21)$$

We also have the following from the above,

$$W_2(X, Y)\xi = R(\phi X, \phi Y)\xi + (\lambda/2n) \{\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X\} \quad \dots (22)$$

$$W_2(\xi, Y)Z = (\lambda/2n) \{\eta(Z)Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\} \quad \dots (23)$$

$$W_2(X, \xi)Z = (\lambda/2n) \{-\eta(Z)X + \eta(X)\eta(Z)\xi\} \quad \dots (24)$$

$$W_2(\xi, Y)\xi = (\lambda/2n) \{Y - \eta(Y)\xi\} \quad \dots (25)$$

$$W_2(\xi, \xi)Z = 0, \quad \dots (26)$$

$$\eta\{W_2(\xi, Y)Z\} = \eta\{W_2(X, \xi)Z\} = \eta\{W_2(\xi, Y)\xi\} = 0. \quad \dots (27)$$

### 3. $W_2$ -flat almost $C(\lambda)$ manifolds

Let us consider an almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifold which is  $W_2$ -flat, i.e.  $W_2 = 0$ , then we get from (21)

$$R(\phi X, \phi Y)Z = \lambda \{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + \phi X g(\phi Y, Z) + g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y\} \\ - (1/2n) \{g(X, Z)QY - g(Y, Z)QX\}. \quad \dots (28)$$

In view of (13) we get from above

$$R(\phi X, \phi Y)Z = \lambda \{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + \phi X g(\phi Y, Z) + g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y\} \\ - (1/2n) \{g(X, Z)(AY + B\eta(Y)\xi) - g(Y, Z)(AX + B\eta(X)\xi)\} \quad \dots (29)$$

Putting  $X = \phi X$  in above we get

$$R(\phi^2 X, \phi Y)Z = \lambda \{g(Y, Z)\phi X - g(\phi X, Z)Y + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi^2 X + g(\phi^2 X, Z)\phi Y\} \\ - (1/2n) \{g(\phi X, Z)(AY + B\eta(Y)\xi) - g(Y, Z)A\phi X\}. \quad \dots (30)$$

Setting  $Y = Z = \xi$  in above we get,

$$\lambda(\phi X) - (1/2n)(A\phi) = 0. \quad \dots (31)$$

Putting  $A = -\lambda(2n - 1)$  in above we get,

$$\lambda \left\{ 1 + \frac{2n-1}{2n} \right\} A\phi = 0 \quad \dots (32)$$

Since  $X\phi \neq 0$ , in general, therefore we get from above,  $\lambda = 0$ .

Thus we have the following result:

**Theorem 3.1.** Every  $W_2$ -flat almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifold is almost  $C(0)$ -manifold.

#### 4. Almost $C(\lambda)$ manifolds satisfying $W_2.S=0$

Let us consider an almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifold  $M$  with  $W_2.S = 0$ . Then we get

$$S(W_2(X, Y)Z, \xi) + S(Z, W_2(X, Y)\xi) = 0. \quad \dots (33)$$

Using (22) we get from above

$$S(W_2(X, Y)Z, \xi) + S(Z, R(\phi X, \phi Y)\xi) + (\lambda/2n) \{ \eta(X)S(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)S(Z, X) \} = 0 \quad \dots (34)$$

Putting  $X = \xi$  in above we get,

$$S(W_2(\xi, Y)Z, \xi) + (\lambda/2n) \{ S(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)S(Z, \xi) \} = 0 \quad \dots (35)$$

Using (23) in above we get,

$$(\lambda/2n) \{ \eta(Z)S(\xi, Y) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)S(\xi, \xi) \} + (\lambda/2n) \{ S(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)S(Z, \xi) \} = 0. \quad \dots (36)$$

In view of (17) and (18) we get from above

$$(\lambda/2n) \{ (A + B)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) - (A + B)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \} + (\lambda/2n) \{ S(Y, Z) - (A + B)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \} = 0 \quad \dots (37)$$

Putting  $A = -\lambda(2n - 1)$  and  $B = -\lambda$  in the above equation we get

$$(\lambda/2n) \{ S(Y, Z) + 2n\lambda\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \} = 0 \quad \dots (38)$$

Therefore, either  $\lambda=0$  or  $S(Y, Z) = -2n\lambda\eta(Y)\eta(Z)$ .

If  $S(Y, Z) = -2n\lambda\eta(Y)\eta(Z)$ , then  $r = -2n\lambda$ .

Thus we have the following result:

**Theorem 4.1.** *Every almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifold satisfying  $W_2.S = 0$  is  $C(0)$ -manifold or a manifold of constant scalar curvature.*

### 5. Almost $C(\lambda)$ manifolds satisfying $W_2.R = 0$

Let us consider an almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifold  $M$  with  $W_2.R = 0$ . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} W_2(X, Y)R(U, V)Z - R(W_2(X, Y)U, V)Z - R(U, (X, Y)V)Z \\ - R(U, V)W_2(X, Y)Z = 0. \end{aligned} \quad \dots (39)$$

Putting  $Y = U = \xi$  in (39) and using (9) and (22) we get

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, V)Z = \lambda[\{g(X, Z)\eta(V) + g(X, V)\eta(Z)\}\xi + \eta(X)\eta(Z)V \\ - g(V, Z)X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Z)\eta(V)]. \end{aligned} \quad \dots (40)$$

Thus we are in a position to state the following result:

**Theorem 5.1.** *In an almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifold satisfying  $W_2.R = 0$ , the curvature tensor satisfies the relation (40).*

### 6. Almost $C(\lambda)$ manifolds satisfying $R(\xi, Y).S = 0$

Let us consider an almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifold  $M$  with  $R(\xi, Y).S = 0$ . Then we get

$$S(R(\xi, Y)Z, U) + S(Z, R(\xi, Y)U) = 0. \quad \dots (41)$$

Using (10) we get from above,

$$\lambda\{-g(Y, Z)S(U, \xi) + \eta(Z)S(Y, U) + \eta(U)S(Z, Y) - g(Y, V)S(Z, \xi)\} = 0. \quad (42)$$

In view of (10) we obtain from (42)

$$\lambda\{-(A+B)g(Y, Z)\eta(U) + \eta(Z)S(Y, U) + \eta(U)S(Z, Y) - (A+B)\eta(Z)g(Y, V)\} = 0. \quad \dots (43)$$

Putting  $U = \xi$  in above we get,

$$\lambda\{-(A + B)g(Y, Z) + S(Z, Y)\} = 0. \quad \dots (44)$$

Therefore, either  $\lambda = 0$  or,  $S(Z, Y) = (A + B)g(Y, Z)$ .

If  $S(Z, Y) = (A + B)g(Y, Z)$ , then  $r$  is constant.

Thus we have the following result:

**Theorem 6.1.** *Every almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifolds satisfying  $R(\xi, Y).S = 0$ , is either an almost  $C(0)$ -manifold or a manifold of constant scalar curvature.*

### 7. $W_2$ -semisymmetric almost $C(\lambda)$ manifolds

**Definition 7.1.** *An almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifold will be called  $W_2$ -semisymmetric [3] if  $R(X, Y).W_2 = 0$ , where  $R(X, Y)$  is to be considered as a derivation of the tensor algebra at each point of the manifold for tangent vectors  $X, Y$ . Let us consider*

$$(R(\xi, U).W_2)(X, \xi)Y = 0 \quad \dots (45)$$

Or,  $R(\xi, U).W_2(X, \xi)Y - W_2(R(\xi, U)X, \xi)Y - W_2(X, R(\xi, U)\xi)Y$

$$- W_2(X, \xi)R(\xi, U)Y = 0 \quad \dots(44)$$

Using (9), (10) and (24) in the above equation and after straightforward calculation we get,

$$\begin{aligned} W_2(X, U)Y &= (\lambda / 2n) [\eta(Y)\{g(U, X) - \eta(X)\eta(U)\}\xi \\ &\quad + \eta(X)\eta(Y)\{U - \eta(U)\xi\} - g(U, Y)\{X - \eta(X)\xi\}]. \quad \dots (45) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the following result:

**Theorem 7.1.** *For a  $W_2$ -semisymmetric almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifolds the  $W_2$  curvature tensor satisfies the relation (45).*

**References :**

- [1] Ali Akbar (2013), Some Results on almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifolds, *International Journal of Mathematical Sciences Engineering and applications (IJMSEA)*, **7**, 255-260.
- [2] Blair D. E. (1976), *Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry*. Lecture Notes in Math. No. 509. Springer.
- [3] De U. C., Yildiz A., Turan M. and Bilal Acet E. (2012), 3-Dimensional Quasi-Sasakian Manifolds with semi-symmetric non-metric connection, *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, **41**, 127-137.
- [4] Hui S. K. and Sarkar A. (2012), On the  $W_2$ -curvature tensor of generalized sasakians space-forms, *Mathematica Pannonica* **23**, 113-124.
- [5] Janssen D. and Vanhecke L. (1981), Almost contact structures and curvature tensors, *Kodai Math. J.* **4**, 1-27.
- [6] Kharitonova, S.V. (2011), Almost  $C(\lambda)$  manifolds, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, **177**, 742-747.
- [7] Matsumoto K., Ianus S. and Mihai I. (1986), On P-Sasakian manifolds which admit certain tensor fields, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **33**, 61-65.
- [8] Olszak Z. and Rosca R., (1991), Normal locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifolds, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **39**, 315-323.
- [9] Pokhariyal G. P. (1982), Study of a new curvature tensor in a Sasakian manifold, *Tensor N. S.* **36**, 222- 225.
- [10] Pokhariyal G. P. and Mishra R. S. (1970), The curvature tensor and their relativistic significance, *Yokohoma Math. J.*, **18**, 105- 108.
- [11] Yildiz Ahmet and De, U. C. (2010), On a type of Kenmotsu manifolds, *Differ. Geom. Dyn. Syts.* **12**, 289-298.