

SOME CURVATURE PROPERTIES OF TRANS-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS WITH RESPECT TO GENERALIZED TANAKA WEBSTER OKUMURA CONNECTION

Ali Akbar

Department of Mathematics

Rampurhat College, Birbhum, West Bengal, India

E-mail: aliakbar.akbar@rediffmail.com

Abstract: The main object of the present paper is to study trans-Sasakian manifolds with respect to generalized Tanaka Webster Okumura connection. We established a relation between the curvature tensors \mathcal{R} and R with respect to the generalized Tanaka Webster Okumura connection ∇ and the Levi-Civita connection ∇ respectively. We have studied locally symmetric as well as ϕ -symmetric trans-Sasakian manifolds with respect to generalized Tanaka Webster Okumura connection.

Keywords and phrases: Locally ϕ -symmetric, trans-Sasakian manifolds

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C25, 53D15.

1. Introduction

Let M be an n -dimensional, $n \geq 3$, connected smooth Riemannian manifold endowed with the Riemannian metric g . Let ∇ , R , S and r be the Levi-Civita connection, curvature tensor, Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature of M respectively. The manifold M is called locally symmetric due to Cartan [4], [5], if the global geodesic symmetry at $p \in M$ is an isometry, which is equivalent to the fact that $\nabla R=0$.

In 1977 Takahashi [15] introduced the notion of locally ϕ -symmetry on Sasakian manifolds. A Sasakian manifold is said to be locally ϕ -symmetric if $\phi^2(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z=0$ for any vector fields X, Y, Z, W on M , where ϕ is the structure tensor of the manifold M . The concept of locally ϕ -symmetry on various structures and their generalizations or extension are studied in [14]. In 1985, Oubina [13] introduced a new class of almost contact metric manifolds [11] called trans-Sasakian manifolds, which includes Sasakian, Kenmotsu and Cosymplectic structures. The authors in the paper [1], [3] and [7] studied such manifolds and obtained some interesting results. The notion of generalized Tanaka Webster Okumura connection was introduced and studied by the authors in the paper [10]. The local structure of trans-Sasakian manifold is given by Marrero [12]. In the present paper we have studied trans-Sasakian manifolds with generalized Tanaka Webster Okumura connection.

The present paper is organized as follows.

After introduction in Section 1, we give some preliminaries in Section 2. In section 3 we have established a relation between the curvature tensors \mathfrak{R} and R with respect to the generalized Tanaka Webster Okumura connection ∇ and the Levi-Civita connection ∇ respectively. Section 4 is devoted to the study of locally symmetric as well as locally ϕ -symmetric trans-Sasakian manifolds with respect to generalized Tanaka Webster Okumura connection.

2. Preliminaries

Let M be a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional connected differentiable manifold endowed with an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) where ϕ is a tensor field of type $(1,1)$, ξ is a vector field, η is an 1-form and g is a Riemannian metric on M such that [2]

$$\phi^2(X) = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \eta(\xi) = 1 \quad (1)$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), X, Y \in T(M) \quad (2)$$

Then also

$$\phi\xi = 0, \eta(\phi X) = 0, \eta(X) = g(X, \xi) \quad (3)$$

$$g(\phi X, X) = 0 \quad (4)$$

An almost contact metric manifold $M^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is said to be a trans-Sasakian manifold (13) if $(M^{2n+1} \times R, J, G)$ belongs to the class W_4 [9] of the Hermitian manifolds, where J is the almost complex structure on $M^{2n+1} \times R$ defined by [8]

$$J\left(Z, f \frac{d}{dt}\right) = \left[(\phi Z - f\xi), \eta(Z) \frac{d}{dt}\right] \quad (5)$$

for any vector field Z on M^{2n+1} and smooth function f on $M^{2n+1} \times R$ and G is the Hermitian metric on the product $M^{2n+1} \times R$.

This may be expressed by the condition [13]

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \alpha[g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X] + \beta[g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X] \quad (6)$$

for some smooth functions α and β on M^{2n+1} and we say that the trans-Sasakian structure is of type $((\alpha, \beta))$.

It follows from equation (6) that

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\alpha\phi X - \beta[X - \eta(X)]\xi \quad (7)$$

$$(\nabla_X \eta)Y = -\alpha g(\phi X, Y)\xi + \beta[g(\phi X, \phi Y)] \quad (8)$$

In a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold from (6), (7) and (8) we can write [6]

$$R(X, Y)\xi = (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] + 2\alpha\beta[\eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y] - (X\alpha)\phi Y + (Y\alpha)\phi X - (X\beta)\phi^2 Y - (Y\beta)\phi^2 X \quad (9)$$

$$S(X, \xi) = [2n(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) - \xi\beta]\eta X - (2n - 1)X\beta - (\phi X)\alpha \quad (10)$$

where S is the Ricci tensor.

Further we have

$$2\alpha\beta + \xi\alpha = 0 \quad (11)$$

3. Relation between the curvature tensors \mathfrak{K} and \mathbf{R} with respect to the generalized Tanaka Webster Okumura connection ∇ and the Levi-Civita connection ∇ respectively

The generalized Tanaka Webster Okumura connection ∇ and the Levi-Civita connection ∇ are related by [10]

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X Y + A(X, Y) \quad (12)$$

for all vectors fields X, Y on M . Here

$$A(X, Y) = \alpha[g(X, \phi Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\phi X] + \beta[g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X] - l\eta(X)\phi Y \quad (13)$$

where l is a real constant.

The Torsion \mathfrak{T} of the gTWO -connection ∇ is given by

$$+\eta(X)(\beta Y - l\phi Y) - \eta(Y)(\beta X - l\phi X) \quad (14)$$

From (12) and (13) we get

$$\nabla_Y Z = \nabla_Y Z + \alpha[g(Y, \phi Z)\xi + \eta(Z)\phi Y] + \beta[g(Y, Z)\xi - \eta(Z)Y] - l\eta(Y)\phi Z \quad (15)$$

Applying ∇_X on both side of (15) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z &= \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z + \alpha[(\nabla_X g(Y, \phi Z))\xi + g(Y, \phi Z)\nabla_X \xi + \eta(Z)(\nabla_X \phi Y) + (\nabla_X \eta(Z))\phi Y] \\ &\quad + \beta[(\nabla_X g(Y, Z))\xi + g(Y, Z)\nabla_X \xi - \eta(Z)(\nabla_X Y) - (\nabla_X \eta(Z))Y] \\ &\quad - l[\eta(Z)\nabla_X \phi Z + (\nabla_X \eta(Y))\phi Z] \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

We supposed that the generalized Tanaka Webster Okumura connection ∇ is metric compatible. This implies that

$$(\nabla_X g)(Y, Z) = 0$$

$$\text{or, } \nabla_X g(Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X Z) \quad (17)$$

Using (15) on right hand side of (17) we get

$$\nabla_X g(Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X Z) \quad (18)$$

Similarly we can write from (18)

$$\nabla_X g(Y, \phi Z) = g(\nabla_X Y, \phi Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X \phi Z) \quad (19)$$

Using relation (12) we get the following relations

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \alpha[g(X, \phi Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\phi X] + \beta[g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X] - l\eta(X)\phi Y \quad (20)$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \nabla_Y Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z + \alpha[g(X, \phi \nabla_Y Z)\xi + \eta(\nabla_Y Z)\phi X] + \beta[g(X, \nabla_Y Z)\xi - \eta(\nabla_Y Z)\xi] - l\eta(X)\phi \nabla_Y Z \quad (21)$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = \nabla_X \xi + \alpha\phi X + \beta[\eta(X)\xi - X] \quad (22)$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \phi Y = \nabla_X \phi Y + \alpha[g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)]\xi + \beta g(X, \phi Y)\xi - l\eta(X)[Y - \eta(Y)\xi] \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_X \eta(Y) &= \nabla_X \eta(Y) + \alpha[g(X, \phi \eta(Y))\xi + \eta(\eta(Y))\phi X] \\ &\quad + \beta[g(X, \eta(Y))\xi - \eta(\eta(Y))X] - l\eta(X)\phi \eta(Y) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Using relations (18) to (24) in (16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\nabla}_Y Z &= \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z + \alpha[g(X, \phi \nabla_Y Z)\xi + \eta(\nabla_Y Z)\phi X] + \beta[g(X, \nabla_Y Z)\xi - \eta(\nabla_Y Z)X] \\ &\quad - l\eta(X)\phi \nabla_Y Z \\ &\quad + \alpha[g(\nabla_X Y, \phi Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X \phi Z)]\xi + \beta[g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X Z)]\xi \\ &\quad + [\alpha g(Y, \phi Z) + \beta g(Y, Z)][\nabla_X \xi + \alpha\phi X + \beta(\eta(X)\xi - X)] + \\ &\quad [\nabla_X \eta(Z) + \alpha[g(X, \phi \eta(Z))\xi + \eta(\eta(Z))\phi X] + \beta[g(X, \eta(Z))\xi - \eta(\eta(Z))X] - \\ &\quad l\eta(X)\phi \eta(Z)] [\alpha\phi Y - \beta Y] \\ &\quad + \alpha\eta(Z)[\nabla_X \phi Y + \alpha[g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)]\xi + \beta g(X, \phi Y)\xi + l\eta(X)[Y - \eta(Y)\xi]] \\ &\quad - \beta\eta(Z)[\nabla_X Y + \alpha[g(X, \phi Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\phi X] + \beta[g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X] - l\eta(X)\phi Y] \\ &\quad - l\eta(Y)[\nabla_X \phi Z + \alpha[g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Z)]\xi + \beta g(X, \phi Z)\xi + l\eta(X)[Z - \eta(Z)\xi]] \\ &\quad - l[\nabla_X \eta(Y) + \alpha[g(X, \phi \eta(Y))\xi + \eta(\eta(Y))\phi X] + \beta[g(X, \eta(Y))\xi - \eta(\eta(Y))X - \\ &\quad l\eta(X)\phi \eta(Y)]] \phi Z \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Interchanging X and Y in (25)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{\nabla}_X Z &= \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z + \alpha[g(Y, \phi \nabla_X Z)\xi + \eta(\nabla_X Z)\phi Y] + \beta[g(Y, \nabla_X Z)\xi - \eta(\nabla_X Z)Y] \\ &\quad - l\eta(Y)\phi \nabla_X Z \\ &\quad + \alpha[g(\nabla_Y X, \phi Z) + g(X, \nabla_Y \phi Z)]\xi + \beta[g(\nabla_Y X, Z) + g(X, \nabla_Y Z)]\xi \\ &\quad + [\alpha g(X, \phi Z) + \beta g(X, Z)][\nabla_Y \xi + \alpha\phi Y + \beta(\eta(Y)\xi - Y)] + \\ &\quad [\nabla_Y \eta(Z) + \alpha[g(Y, \phi \eta(Z))\xi + \eta(\eta(Z))\phi Y] + \beta[g(Y, \eta(Z))\xi - \eta(\eta(Z))Y] - \\ &\quad l\eta(Y)\phi \eta(Z)] [\alpha\phi X - \beta X] \\ &\quad + \alpha\eta(Z)[\nabla_Y \phi X + \alpha[g(Y, X) + \eta(Y)\eta(X)]\xi + \beta g(Y, \phi X)\xi + l\eta(Y)[X - \eta(X)\xi]] \\ &\quad - \beta\eta(Z)[\nabla_Y X + \alpha[g(Y, \phi X)\xi + \eta(X)\phi Y] + \beta[g(Y, X)\xi - \eta(X)Y] - l\eta(Y)\phi X] \\ &\quad - l\eta(X)[\nabla_Y \phi Z + \alpha[g(Y, X) + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)]\xi + \beta g(Y, \phi Z)\xi + l\eta(Y)[Z - \eta(Z)\xi]] \end{aligned}$$

$$-l \left[\nabla_Y \eta(X) + \alpha [g(Y, \phi \eta(X)) \xi + \eta(\eta(X)) \phi Y] + \beta [g(Y, \eta(X)) \xi - \eta(\eta(X)) Y - l \eta(Y) \phi \eta(X)] \right] \phi Z \quad (26)$$

In view of (12) and (13) we get

$$(\nabla)_{[X,Y]} Z = \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z + \alpha [g([X, Y], \phi Z) \phi + \eta(Z) \phi [X, Y]] + \beta [g([X, Y], Z) \xi - \eta(Z) [X, Y]] - l \eta([X, Y]) \phi Z \quad (27)$$

We know that

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z \quad (28)$$

$$\mathfrak{R}(X, Y)Z = \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\nabla}_Y Z - \tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{\nabla}_X Z - \nabla_{[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]} Z \quad (29)$$

Using (25), (26), (27), (28) in (29) and by straightforward calculation we get $\mathfrak{R}(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z + \alpha [g(Y, \nabla_X \phi Z) \xi - g(X, \nabla_Y \phi) \xi + g(X, \phi \nabla_Y Z) \xi + \eta(\nabla_Y Z) \phi X - g(Y, \phi \nabla_X Z) \xi - \eta(\nabla_X Z) \phi Y - \eta(Z) \eta [X, Y]]$

$$+ \beta [\eta(\nabla_X Z) Y - \eta(\nabla_Y Z) X + g([X, Y], Z) \xi] - l [\eta(X) \phi \nabla_Y Z + \eta(Y) \phi \nabla_X Z + \eta([X, Y]) \phi Z] + [\alpha g(Y, \phi Z) + \beta g(Y, Z)] [\nabla_X \xi + \alpha \phi X + \beta (\eta(X) \xi - X)] - [\alpha g(X, \phi Z) + \beta g(X, Z)] [\nabla_Y \xi + \alpha \phi Y + \beta (\eta(Y) \xi - Y)]$$

+

$$-(\alpha \phi X - \beta X) [\nabla_Y \eta(Z) + \alpha (g(Y, \phi \eta(Z)) \xi + \eta(\eta(Z)) \phi Y) + \beta (g(Y, \eta(Z)) \xi - \eta(\eta(Z)) Y) - l \eta(Y) \phi \eta(Z)]$$

+

$$-l \eta(Y) [\nabla_X \phi Z + \alpha (\eta(X) \eta(Z) - g(X, Z)) \xi + \beta g(X, \phi Z) \xi + l \eta(X) (Z - \eta(Z) \xi)] + -\beta \eta(Z) [\alpha (2g(X, \phi Y) \xi + \eta(Y) \phi X - \eta(X) \phi Y) - \beta (\eta(Y) X - \eta(X) Y) + l (\eta(Y) \phi X - \eta(X) \phi Y)] - l [\nabla_X \eta(Y) - \nabla_Y \eta(X) - \alpha [g(X, \phi \eta(Y)) \xi + \eta(\eta(Y)) \phi X - g(Y, \phi \eta(X)) \xi - \eta(\eta(X)) \phi Y]] + l \beta [g(X, \eta(Y)) \xi - \eta(\eta(Y)) X - g(Y, \eta(X)) \xi + \eta(\eta(X)) Y] + l^2 [\eta(Y) \phi \eta(X) - \eta(X) \phi \eta(Y)] \phi Z \quad (30)$$

This is the relation between the curvature tensors \mathfrak{R} and R with respect to the generalized Tanaka Webster Okumura connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and the Levi-Civita connection ∇ respectively.

4. Locally symmetric and locally ϕ -symmetric trans-Sasakian manifolds with respect to generalized Tanaka Webster Okumura connection

Definition 4.1. A $(2n+1)$ -dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold will be called locally symmetric if

$$(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z = 0 \quad (31)$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z and W orthogonal to ξ .

Definition 4.2. A $(2n+1)$ -dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold will be called locally ϕ -symmetric if

$$\phi^2(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z = 0 \quad (32)$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z and W orthogonal to ξ .

In this connection it should be mentioned that the notion of locally symmetric manifolds was introduced and studied in the paper ([4], [5]) and the locally ϕ -symmetric manifolds was introduced by Takahashi [15] in the context of Sasakian geometry. Gray and Hervella [9] have studied the sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants.

Analogous to the definition of locally symmetric as well as locally ϕ -symmetric trans-Sasakian manifolds with respect to Levi-Civita connection ∇ we define respectively locally symmetric and locally ϕ -symmetric trans-Sasakian manifolds with respect to generalized Tanaka Webster Okumura connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ by

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_W R)(X, Y)Z = 0 \quad (33)$$

and

$$\phi^2(\tilde{\nabla}_W R)(X, Y)Z = 0 \quad (34)$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z and W orthogonal to ξ .

We consider that the vector fields X, Y, Z and W orthogonal to ξ .

Then relation (30) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z \\ &\quad + \alpha[g(Y, \nabla_X \phi Z)\xi - g(X, \nabla_Y \phi Z)\xi + g(X, \phi \nabla_Y Z)\xi - g(Y, \phi \nabla_X Z)\xi] \\ &\quad + \beta g([X, Y], Z)\xi \\ &\quad - [\alpha g(Y, \phi Z) + \beta g(Y, Z)][\nabla_X \xi + \alpha \phi X - \beta X] - [\alpha g(X, \phi Z) + \beta g(X, Z)][\nabla_Y \xi + \\ &\quad \alpha \phi Y - \beta Y] \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Using relation (7) in (35) we get

$$\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z + \alpha[g(Y, \nabla_X \phi Z)\xi - g(X, \nabla_Y \phi Z)\xi + g(X, \phi \nabla_Y Z)\xi - g(Y, \phi \nabla_X Z)\xi] + \beta g([X, Y], Z)\xi \quad (36)$$

Differentiating (35) covariantly by W with respect to Levi-Civita connection ∇ we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W \tilde{R})(X, Y)Z &= (\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z + \alpha[(g(Y, \nabla_X \phi Z) - g(X, \nabla_Y \phi Z)) + \beta g([X, Y], Z)]\nabla_W \xi \\ &\quad + \alpha[g(X, \nabla_W \phi \nabla_Y Z) - g(Y, \nabla_W \nabla_X Z)]\xi + \alpha[g(X, \phi \nabla_Y Z) - g(Y, \phi \nabla_X Z)]\nabla_W \xi \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

As X and Y are orthogonal to ξ , equation (12) reduces to

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + [\alpha g(X, \phi Y) + \beta g(X, Y)]\xi \quad (38)$$

for all vector fields X, Y on M .

In view of (38) we can write

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{\mathcal{R}})(X, Y)Z = (\nabla_W \mathcal{R})(X, Y)Z + [\alpha g(W, \phi \mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z) + \beta g(W, \mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z)]\xi \quad (39)$$

In view of (37) we obtain from (39)

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{\mathcal{R}})(X, Y)Z &= (\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z + \alpha [(g(Y, \nabla_X \phi Z) - g(X, \nabla_Y \phi Z)) + \beta g([X, Y], Z)]\nabla_W \xi \\ &\quad + \alpha [g(X, \nabla_W \phi \nabla_Y Z) - g(Y, \nabla_W \nabla_X Z)]\xi + \alpha [g(X, \phi \nabla_Y Z) - g(Y, \phi \nabla_X Z)]\nabla_W \xi \\ &\quad + [\alpha g(W, \phi \mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z) + \beta g(W, \mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z)]\xi \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Taking inner product on both side of (40) with respect to W we get

$$\begin{aligned} g((\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{\mathcal{R}})(X, Y)Z, W) &= g((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z, W) \\ &\quad + \alpha [(g(Y, \nabla_X \phi Z) - g(X, \nabla_Y \phi Z)) + \beta g([X, Y], Z)]g(\nabla_W \xi, W) \\ &\quad + \alpha [g(X, \phi \nabla_Y Z) - g(Y, \phi \nabla_X Z)]g(\nabla_W \xi, W) \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

In view of (7) we get from (41)

$$\begin{aligned} g((\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{\mathcal{R}})(X, Y)Z, W) &= g((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z, W) \\ &\quad + \alpha [(g(Y, \nabla_X \phi Z) - g(X, \nabla_Y \phi Z)) + \beta g([X, Y], Z)]g(W, \beta W) \\ &\quad + \alpha [g(X, \phi \nabla_Y Z) - g(Y, \phi \nabla_X Z)]g(W, \beta W) \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Suppose α is constant, then by (42) $\beta = 0$. In such a case from equation (42) we get

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{\mathcal{R}})(X, Y)Z = (\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z \quad (43)$$

Thus we are in a position to state the following:

Theorem 1. A $(2n+1)$ -dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold of type (α, β) with α as a constant is locally symmetric with respect to generalized Tanaka Webster Okumura connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ if and only if it is so with respect to Levi-Civita connection ∇ .

Now applying ϕ^2 on both side of the equation (40) and using relation (1) and (7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^2(\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{\mathcal{R}})(X, Y)Z, &= \phi^2(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z \\ &\quad + \alpha [g(Y, \nabla_X \phi Z) - g(X, \nabla_Y \phi Z) + g(X, \phi \nabla_Y Z) - g(Y, \phi \nabla_X Z)] \\ &\quad + \beta (g([X, Y], Z))(\alpha \phi W - \beta W) \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Taking inner product on both side of above with respect to W we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(\phi^2(\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{\mathcal{R}})(X, Y)Z, W) &= g(\phi^2(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z, W) \\ &\quad + \alpha [g(Y, \nabla_X \phi Z) - g(X, \nabla_Y \phi Z) + g(X, \phi \nabla_Y Z) - g(Y, \phi \nabla_X Z)] \\ &\quad - \beta (g([X, Y], Z))g(W, W) \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Suppose α is a constant. Then by (11) we get $\beta = 0$. In such a case from equation (45) we get

$$\phi^2(\tilde{\nabla}_W \tilde{\mathcal{R}})(X, Y)Z = \phi^2(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z \quad (46)$$

Thus we are in a position to state the following:

Theorem 1. A $(2n+1)$ -dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold of type (α, β) with α as a constant is locally ϕ symmetric with respect to generalized Tanaka Webster Okumura connection ∇ if and only if it is so with respect to Levi-Civita connection ∇ .

Acknowledgement: The authors are thankful to the Referee for valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] Bagewadi C. S. and Girish Kumar E. (2004). Note on trans-Sasakian manifolds, Tensor (N.S.), 65, 80-88.
- [2] Blair, D. E. (1976). Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry. Lecture Notes in Math. No. 509. Springer.
- [3] Blair, D. E. and Oubina, J. A. (1990). Conformal and related changes of metric on the product of two almost contact metric manifolds, Publ. Mathematiques 34, 199-207.
- [4] Cartan, E. (1926). Sur une class remarquable despace de Riemann, I, Bull. de la Soc. Math. de France, 54, 214-216.
- [5] Cartan, E. (1927). Sur une class remarquable despace de Riemann, II, Bull. de la Soc. Math. de France, 55, 114-134.
- [6] De, U. C. and Tripathi, M. M. (2003). Ricci tensor in three dimension trans-Sasakian manifolds, Kyungpook Math. J. 43(2), 247-255.
- [7] De, U. C. and Sarkar, A. (2008). On three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold, Extracta Mathematicae, 23(3), 265-270.
- [8] De, U. C. and Shaikh, A. A. (2009). Complex manifolds and contact manifolds, Narosa Publication House Pvt. Ltd.
- [9] Gray, A. and Hervella, L. M. (1980). The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants, Ann. Mat. pura Appl. (4) 123, 35-58.
- [10] Inoguchi, J. and Lee, J. E. (2013). Affine biharmonic curves in 3-dimensional homogeneous geometries, Mediterr. J. Math. 10, 57
- [11] Janssens, D. and Vanhecke, L. (1981). Almost contact structures and curvature tensors, Kodai Math. J. 4, 1-27.
- [12] Marrero, J. C. (1992). The local structure of trans-Sasakian manifolds, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 162(4), 77-86.
- [13] Oubina, J. A. (1985). New class of almost contact metric manifolds, Publ. Math. Debrecen 32, 187-193.
- [14] Shaikh, A. A., Basu, T. and Eyasmin, S. (2007). On locally ϕ -symmetric $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds, Int. J. of Pure and Appl. Math., 41(8), 1161-1170.
- [15] Takahashi. T. (1977). Sasakian ϕ -symmetric spaces, Tohoku Math. J., 29, 91-113.